



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/183,343	10/30/1998	PEKKA ISOMURSU	442-007078-U	3022

7590

05/15/2002

CLARENCE A GREEN
PERMAN AND GREEN
425 POST ROAD
FAIRFIELD, CT 06430

EXAMINER

GELIN, JEAN ALLAND

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2681

DATE MAILED: 05/15/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO. 09/183,343	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 442-007078 U
---	-------------	---	--

EXAMINER	
Jean Gelin	
ART UNIT 2681	PAPER 16

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

This communication is in response to the request to reset the reply period received June 8, 2001. The delay in response is regretted.

Applicant's request to reset the time period under MPEP§ 710.06 for the reasons "...the examiner rejected claims in the application on the basis of newly cited references (specifically Theimer, Heinlein, Budd, and Tiller)" and wherein "The Examiner however failed to provide copies of the newly cited references", is Denied.

It is noted that contrary to applicant's assertion, the Heinlein, Budd and Tiller references were NOT relied upon for grounds of rejection, but were rather merely made of record and NOT relied upon. Therefore, these three references were not required for applicant to respond to the outstanding Office action. It is noted that the Theimer (newly cited) was relied upon for the rejection of claims 27-31. However, this particular piece of art was cited by applicant in paper #8. Thus it was unnecessary for the Examiner to forward a copy back to applicant.

Therefore, given that the newly cited art was either not needed for response, or should have already been in applicant's possession, the request for resetting the reply period is Denied.

The status of the application is as follows. Applicant admits receipt of a remailed final rejection (mail date of 5/08/01). Given that the time period for response to a Final rejection continues to run for 6 months after the mailing of the Final Rejection, even after an applicant response, and given the fact that applicant has provided no response to the remailed Final Rejection other than the aforementioned request, the maximum time period for response expired November 8, 2001. Therefore, the application's status is that it is abandoned. A notice of Abandonment will follow shortly.

DWAYNE POST
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

J.G. 5/13/02
703-305-4847